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## Executive Summary

## Scope

The Town of Tillsonburg retained Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited (Paradigm) to conduct a Corridor Management Study for Quarter Town Line. The purpose of this study was to develop economically feasible countermeasures to existing and anticipated traffic operations and safety concerns within the corridor.

## Findings and Conclusions

The operational analysis concluded that all intersections within the Quarter Town Line corridor currently operate at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours. However, speed surveys found that high operating speeds were observed along the subject road corridor.

A field investigation conducted on September 26, 2017 identified the following safety and operational issues along the study corridor:

- High operating speeds in school zones and on the road segment immediately north of Baldwin Street. One of the reasons for the high operating speed is the absence of distinctive street features to break up the corridor;
- Lack of protected/controlled crossings near Monsignor O'Neil School, Westfield Public School and at the midblock trail crossing north of Baldwin Street, which expose vulnerable road users to conflicts with motorists;
- Visibility issues at South Ridge Road and Concession Street North, which restrict sight distances and increase the risk of collisions; and
- Discontinuity of the Truck Routes as heavy vehicles entering the study corridor from the south are prohibited access to Quarter Town Line and Baldwin Street, and are thus required to turn onto a local residential street to exit the area.


## Recommendations

To address the above issues, treatments that would reduce vehicle speeds and provide additional protection to vulnerable road users were identified. The key remedial actions recommended for the subject corridor consist of the following:

- Install Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C crossings at the following locations:
- North leg of South Ridge Road and Quarter Town Line;
- South leg of Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line;
- South leg of Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line; and
- Midblock location where Veterans Memorial Walkway trail intersects with Quarter Town Line.
- Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) with SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM SPEED 40 KILOMETRES PER HOUR WHEN FLASHING signs and associated School Zone Flasher Systems (RB6 6) at the three school areas.
- Install on-road bike lanes on Quarter Town Line from Baldwin Street to North Street West. The proposed bike lanes would connect the east-west trails intersecting Quarter Town Line and provide access to the main generators of bike traffic along the corridor including Monsignor O'Neil Catholic Elementary School, Westfield Public School and Tillsonburg Minor Soccer facility.

Several site specific remedial actions are recommended to address issues related to traffic control devices, visibility, and surface condition.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Scope

The Town of Tillsonburg retained Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited (Paradigm) to conduct a Corridor Management Study for Quarter Town Line. The purpose of this study was to develop economically feasible countermeasures to existing and anticipated traffic operations and safety concerns within the corridor. The main project objectives were to:

- Develop a plan to create a safe and efficient roadway corridor, while mitigating conflicts and removing barriers;
- Improve opportunities for active transportation while minimizing conflicts between vehicles and vulnerable road users;
- Provide additional safe opportunities for pedestrian and bicycle crossings;
- Integrate and coordinate with overarching Town plans and objectives;
- Identify countermeasure improvements for study zone priority locations (schools, trails, etc.); and
- Develop an implementation plan.


### 1.2 Study Area

Quarter Town Line is located on the west side of the Town of Tillsonburg, Ontario. The subject corridor is a four (4) kilometre, north-south, arterial roadway that spans from Baldwin Street in the south, to its terminus at Broadway Street and Highway 19 in the north, which lies just beyond the north limit of the Town. Over this section, Quarter Town Line intersects with the following four (4) arterial roadways at stop controlled intersections (from north to south):

- Broadway Street/Highway 19 (two-way stop controlled);
- North Street West (all-way stop controlled);
- Concession Street West (all-way stop controlled); and
- Baldwin Street (two-way stop controlled).

The posted speed limit along the corridor varies between 40 and 50 kilometres per hour. The 40 kilometres per hour zones are posted along sections where the following three (3) schools are located:

- South Ridge Public School, which is located on South Ridge Road approximately 400 metres south of the intersection of Quarter Town Line and Broadway Street;
- Monsignor J. H. O'Neil School, which is located on Quarter Town Line approximately 650 metres south of the intersection of Quarter Town Line and North Street West; and
- Westfield Public School, which is located south of the intersection of Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line and west of Quarter Town Line.

School crossing guards are present during school arrival and departure periods at the crosswalks located on the following approaches to assist students crossing the major roadways:

- Southbound approach (north leg) of the Southridge Road and Quarter Town Line intersection;
- Eastbound approach (west leg) of the North Street West and Quarter Town Line intersection;
- Westbound approach (east leg) of the Concession Road and Quarter Town Line intersection; and
- Southbound approach (north leg) of the Esseltine Road and Quarter Town Line intersection.

The corridor is also experiencing land development and resulting traffic growth. A 64 unit townhouse development is being proposed at 360 Quarter Town Line, which is located on the east side of the roadway between South Ridge Road and Trillium Drive. Another development, known as the Andrews Crossing Subdivision (no specifics yet), is anticipated on the east side of Quarter Town Line just south of North Street West.

Figures 1.1 and 1.2 illustrate the traffic controls and posted speed limits currently in place on Quarter Town Line, as well as the location of the schools and planned developments within the study area.
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## 2 Existing Conditions Analysis

### 2.1 Speed Survey

Speed surveys were conducted along Quarter Town Line on September 26, 2017 for two 4-hour periods (7:00 to 11:00 AM and 3:00 to 7:00 PM). Data were collected for both the southbound and northbound directions at the following four locations within the corridor:

- At South Ridge Road;
- At a point approximately 180 metres south of Glendale Drive;
- Between Grandview Drive and Dereham Drive; and
- At a point approximately 245 metres north of Baldwin Street.

At each location, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed and standard deviation were calculated for both directions. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is a common value used by road authorities to establish regulatory speed zones, while the standard deviation is a measure of dispersion of a set of data from the central value. The higher the standard deviation, the more spread out the speeds. This statistic is of relevance as speed differential is an important criterion in road safety. In an ideal scenario, vehicle operating speeds would be identical, reducing the likelihood of conflicts among road users.

Table 2.1 summarizes the speed survey results. The table indicates that:

- The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed consistently exceeds the posted limit by 11 to 13 kilometres per hour at each location, except between Grandview Drive and Dereham Drive. At this location, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds were above the posted limit by 6 kilometres per hour in the southbound direction and 4 kilometres per hour in the northbound direction. It is noted that Quarter Town Line from Grandview Drive to Dereham Drive is the only segment without undeveloped lands abutting the roadside. In this section, the roadside consists of a mature residential neighbourhood with houses and tree lines on both sides.
- There is minimal variation between the speeds recorded in the northbound and southbound directions. The largest difference (2 kilometres per hour) was noted between Grandview Drive and Dereham Drive.
- The standard deviation at each location is 11 or 12, except at South Ridge Road where the statistic is substantially higher at 15 . This indicates that motorists traveling through this area operate their vehicles at speeds further away from the central value, increasing the risk of conflicts among road users. The transition from urban to rural environment just north of South Ridge Road is one potential explanation for the larger standard deviation.

TABLE 2.1: SPEED SURVEY RESULTS

| Location on QTL | Posted Speed (km/h) | 85th Percentile Speed (km/h) |  | Standard Deviation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NB | SB | NB | SB |
| South Ridge Road | 40 | 53 | 52 | 15 | 16 |
| 180 m south of Glendale Drive | 40 | 53 | 52 | 11 | 11 |
| Between Grandview Drive \& Dereham Drive | 50 | 54 | 56 | 11 | 11 |
| 245 m north of Baldwin Street | 50 | 62 | 61 | 11 | 12 |

### 2.2 Traffic Volumes

Paradigm collected intersection turning movement counts at the study area intersections on September 26, 2017 using Miovision Scout video data collection units. The counts were used to assess intersection traffic operations on Quarter Town Line during the peak morning and afternoon travel periods, which represent worst-case operating conditions.

Figure 2.1 illustrates existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes on Quarter Town Line at the key intersections within the corridor. It should be noted that the traffic volumes between intersections have not been balanced due to frequent driveways between intersections. Appendix A provides the detailed count data.

Traffic operations analysis for the Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line intersection will be completed once turning movement counts are received from the Town.

### 2.3 Traffic Operations

The operation and capacity of the study area intersections were analyzed with Synchro 9 software using existing traffic volumes and lane geometry. The intersection analysis considered three separate measures of performance:

- The LOS for each turning movement;
- The volume to capacity (v/c) ratio for each turning movement; and
- The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths.

Intersection Level of Service (LOS) is a recognized method of quantifying the efficiency of traffic flow at intersections. It is based on the delay experienced by individual vehicles executing the various movements. The delay is related to the number of vehicles that desire to make a certain movement compared to the estimated capacity for that movement. The capacity is based on several criteria related to the opposing traffic flow. The highest possible rating is LOS A, under which the average total delay is equal to or less than 10 seconds per vehicle. When the average delay exceeds 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections, the movement is classified as LOS F and remedial measures are typically implemented, if they are feasible.
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Table 2.2 summarizes the existing peak hour traffic operations at the study area intersections. All intersections currently operate at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours. Appendix B provides the detailed operational analysis reports.

### 2.4 All-way Stop Analysis

An all-way stop warrant analysis was carried out for the following five intersections within the corridor:

- Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line
- Baldwin Street and Quarter Town Line
- Sanders Crescent/Esseltine Drive and Quarter Town Line
- Concession Street and Quarter Town Line
- North Street West and Quarter Town Line

The justification set out in Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 5 - Regulatory Signs was applied to determine if the conditions for the installation of STOP signs on all approaches were met at the subject intersections. The warrant indicates that all-way stop control may be considered on minor roads where:

- Total vehicle volume on all intersection approaches exceeds 350 for the highest hour recorded; and
- Volume split does not exceed 75/25 for three-way control or 65/35 for four-way control. Volume is defined as vehicles only.

The eight-hour turning movement counts collected by Paradigm on September 26, 2017 were used for this analysis, except for the intersection of Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line. The Town provided a two-day count collected on October 6 and 7, 2015 for this location.

The warrant analysis indicates that all-way stop controls are justified for the following intersections:

- Baldwin Street and Quarter Town Line
- Concession Street and Quarter Town Line
- North Street West and Quarter Town Line

Tables $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ provide the warrant analysis results for the intersections.

### 2.5 Collision Analysis

Insufficient historical collision data was available for a detailed collision analysis to be completed.

TABLE 2.2: EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS SUMMARY


TABLE 2.3: ALL-WAY STOP WARRANT ANALYSIS - GLENDALE DRIVE AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Condition | Threshold | Observed | Minimum Threshold <br> Satisfied ? (Yes/No) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition 1- Minimum volume | $350 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | $427 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | Yes |
| Condition 2 - Traffic split | $75 \% \mathrm{maj} / 25 \% \mathrm{~min}$ | $82 \% / 18 \%$ | No |
| All-way stop justified? |  |  | No |

TABLE 2.4: ALL-WAY STOP WARRANT ANALYSIS - BALDWIN STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Condition | Threshold | Observed | Minimum Threshold <br> Satisfied ? (Yes/No) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition 1- Minimum volume | $350 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | $397 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | Yes |
| Condition 2- Traffic split | $65 \% \mathrm{maj} / 35 \% \mathrm{~min}$ | $57 \% / 43 \%$ | Yes |
| All-way stop justified? |  |  | Yes |

TABLE 2.5: ALL-WAY STOP WARRANT ANALYSIS - SANDERS CRESCENT/ ESSELTINE DRIVE AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Condition | Threshold | Observed | Minimum Threshold <br> Satisfied ? (Yes/No) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition 1-Minimum volume | $350 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | $316 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | No |
| Condition 2 - Traffic split | $65 \% \mathrm{maj} / 35 \% \mathrm{~min}$ | $82 \% / 18 \%$ | No |
| All-way stop justified? |  |  | No |

TABLE 2.6: ALL-WAY STOP WARRANT ANALYSIS - CONCESSION STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Condition | Threshold | Observed | Minimum Threshold <br> Satisfied ? (Yes/No) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition 1- Minimum volume | $350 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | $548 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | Yes |
| Condition 2- Traffic split | $65 \% \mathrm{maj} / 35 \% \mathrm{~min}$ | $61 \% / 39 \%$ | Yes |
| All-way stop justified? |  |  | Yes |

TABLE 2.7: ALL-WAY STOP WARRANT ANALYSIS - NORTH STREET WEST AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Condition | Threshold | Observed | Minimum Threshold <br> Satisfied ? (Yes/No) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition 1- Minimum volume | $350 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | $607 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ | Yes |
| Condition 2- Traffic split | $65 \% \mathrm{maj} / 35 \% \mathrm{~min}$ | $57 \% / 43 \%$ | Yes |
| All-way stop justified? |  |  | Yes |

## 3 Identification of Safety and Operational Issues

### 3.1 Overview

The following details the geometric characteristics of Quarter Town Line, as well as the safety and operational issues identified along the corridor at key intersections and within specific road segments.

### 3.2 Broadway Street and Quarter Town Line Intersection

Highway 19/Plank Line/Broadway Street (referred to as Broadway Street herein) and Quarter Town Line is a skewed, stop controlled intersection with three approaches.

Within this section of the corridors, Quarter Town Line has a rural crosssection and curves sharply eastward as it intersects with Broadway Street. The Quarter Town Line approach at the intersection is stop controlled. There is one travel lane in each direction. There are no sidewalks on either side of the roadway and the posted speed limit is 40 kilometres per hour.

Broadway Street is assumed to run east-west near the intersection. This roadway has a rural cross-section that curves eastward as it reaches Tillsonburg from the west. As it curves, it turns into Broadway Street and cuts diagonally through the Town. At this curve it intersects with Quarter Town Line. The flow on this roadway is uncontrolled (i.e. no STOP signs). The posted speed limit is 80 kilometres per hour west of Quarter Town Line and 60 kilometres per hour east of Quarter Town Line.

Table 3.1 summarizes the key issues identified at the intersection.

TABLE 3.1: KEY ISSUES AT BROADWAY STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Observation | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lack of <br> delineation of <br> the right-turn <br> taper | On the eastbound approach of the <br> intersection, there is a right-turn taper <br> provided to reduce conflicts between <br> through and right-turning traffic. The <br> length of the right-turn taper is <br> approximately 60 metres. OTM Book 11 <br> - Pavement, Hazard and Delineation <br> Markings indicates that right-turn tapers <br> are to be delineated using a white edge <br> line and white dashed line. At the <br> subject intersection, no white dashed <br> lines were installed to clearly delineate <br> the right-turn taper. The absence of <br> white dashed lines does not guide <br> motorists on the appropriate path of <br> travel. This issue would be emphasized <br> during nighttime visibility. |
| Inadequate <br> CURVE sign |  |
| (Wa-3L) installed |  |
| on the |  |
| eastbound |  |
| approach |  |$\quad$| Sign with an additional black, line to |
| :--- |
| indicate the presence of the intersection |
| at Quarter Town Line along the curve is |
| used. The use of the black line warns |
| westbound motorists of the potential |
| conflicts with turning vehicles at Quarter |
| Town Line. However, on the eastbound |
| approach, only the CURVE sign (Wa-3L) |
| without the black line is used. Therefore, |
| eastbound motorists are not warned of |
| potential conflicts with turning vehicles |
| at Quarter Town Line. |

TABLE 3.1: KEY ISSUES AT BROADWAY STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Observation | Description |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lack of warning <br> on the <br> northbound <br> approach of the <br> abrupt change <br> in direction | The presence of one CHECKERBOARD <br> sign suggests that the Town recognized <br> that additional guidance to road users <br> was required due to hazardous <br> conditions created by the horizontal <br> curves present on the approach to the <br> intersection. However, no roadway <br> alignment sign to warn motorists of the <br> abrupt change in direction is provided in <br> advance of the curve. |  |
| Restricted <br> visibility on the <br> northbound <br> approach of the <br> intersection | The STOP sign on the northbound <br> approach of the intersection is not <br> visible within the stopping sight distance <br> primarily due to abrupt horizontal curve <br> located in advance of the intersection. <br> An unfamiliar motorist would be <br> provided with limited visual cues of the <br> presence of an intersection. |  |

### 3.3 South Ridge Road and Quarter Town Line Intersection (South Ridge Public School)

South Ridge Road and Quarter Town Line is a stop controlled T-intersection located adjacent to South Ridge Public School (approximately 380 metres south of Quarter Town Line and Broadway Street) with the STOP sign installed on the eastbound approach of the intersection. The posted speed limit on both roadways is 40 kilometres per hour.

A school crossing is provided on the southbound approach. A crossing guard is present at the intersection during school arrival and departure periods to assist children crossing Quarter Town Line. There is only one crosswalk, which is provided on the eastbound approach of the intersection. Sidewalks are provided on the north side of South Ridge Road, and on both sides of Quarter Town Line. The west sidewalk discontinues approximately 45 metres north of South Ridge Road.

Along the south side of South Ridge Road, NO STOPPING signs are installed to prohibit stopping between 8:00 and 9:00 AM, and 3:00 and 4:00 PM (Monday to Friday). Parking is permitted on the north side of South Ridge Road, and was observed to be used by parents as a pick-up/drop-off area. On Quarter Town Line, parking is prohibited north of South Ridge Road, and approximately 20 metres in advance of the school crossing on the east side of Quarter Town Line.

South Ridge Public School is located on the northwest corner of South Ridge Road and Quarter Town Line, with property frontage on both roads. Primary vehicular and pedestrian access to the site is provided via South Ridge Road. The Quarter Town Line property boundary adjacent to the playing fields at the school has a continuous high chain link fence. Approximately 50 metres north of South Ridge Road, a walkway connects the school property to the west sidewalk. A gate provides access to the walkway from the school. At the time of the field investigation, the gate was locked. At the location where the walkway from the school connects to the sidewalk, an informal and uncontrolled crossing is present on Quarter Town Line.

Table 3.2 summarizes the key issues identified at this intersection.

TABLE 3.2: KEY ISSUES AT SOUTH RIDGE ROAD AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION (SOUTH RIDGE PUBLIC SCHOOL)

| Observation | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Limited visibility <br> at the school <br> crossing | A hydro pole is located on the curb ramp <br> that provides access to the school <br> crossing from the northwest corner of <br> the intersection. This condition prevents <br> pedestrians attempting to cross the <br> intersection from having clear visibility of <br> oncoming vehicles. This photo shows <br> the view of a pedestrian when looking <br> for oncoming vehicles from the curb <br> ramp provided on the west side of the <br> school crossing. |
| Driveway that <br> comes out <br> directly onto the <br> school <br> crosswalk | The driveway east of the intersection <br> comes out directly onto the school <br> crosswalk, which has the potential to <br> create conflicts between pedestrians <br> and motorists travelling in and out the <br> driveway. |

TABLE 3.2: KEY ISSUES AT SOUTH RIDGE ROAD AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION (SOUTH RIDGE PUBLIC SCHOOL)

| Observation | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marked crossing <br> installed on the <br> northbound <br> approach of the <br> intersection | Pedestrian ramps exist at the <br> uncontrolled crossing of the intersection <br> northbound approach. Given the <br> presence of the supervised school <br> crossing at the intersection southbound <br> approach and because there is no <br> sidewalk on the south side of South <br> Ridge Road, pedestrians should not be <br> encouraged to cross the intersection <br> using the crosswalk located on the <br> northbound approach. |
| SCHOOL <br> CROSSING sign <br> not installed at <br> the painted <br> crosswalk | The SCHOOL CROSSING signs (Wc-2 <br> and Wc-2t) provided on the east side of <br> Quarter Town Line are installed <br> approximately 10 metres south of the <br> supervised school crossing. The <br> SCHOOL CROSSING signs are located <br> closer to the unmarked crossing, which <br> can create confusion with motorists as <br> to where students are expected to cross <br> the street. OTM Book 6 - Warning Signs <br> indicates that SCHOOL CROSSING <br> signs must be installed directly at the <br> painted crosswalk, one on each side of <br> the roadway, for both directions of <br> travel. |
| Tripping hazard | An uneven walking surface is present on <br> the south side of the eastbound <br> crosswalk. The height differential <br> represents a tripping hazard that <br> exceeds the Minimum Maintenance <br> Standards for Municipal Roadways |
| (MMS), and the Standard Practice for |  |
| Safe Walking Surface ${ }^{2}$ (ASTM F1637). |  |
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### 3.4 North Street West and Quarter Town Line Intersection

North Street West and Quarter Town Line is an all-way stop controlled intersection with a marked crosswalk on each approach, including one school crossing on the eastbound approach of the intersection. A crossing guard is present at the intersection during school arrival and departure periods to assist children crossing North Street West. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of both roadways except west of the intersection where there is no sidewalk provided on the south side of North Street West. The posted speed limit on both roadways is 50 kilometres per hour.

North Street West has one travel lane in each direction with delineated parking lanes on both sides of the roadway. Parking on Quarter Town Line is permitted on both sides. Near the intersection, NO HEAVY TRUCK signs (Rb-62) are installed to restrict access of heavy trucks to Quarter Town Line.

Table 3.3 summarizes the key issues identified at this intersection.

### 3.5 Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line Intersection (Monsignor O'Neil Catholic Elementary School)

Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line is a minor street stop controlled intersection located approximately 50 metres north of Monsignor O'Neil Catholic Elementary School. Marked crosswalks are provided on the eastbound and westbound approaches of the intersection. Near Monsignor O'Neil School, the posted speed limit on Quarter Town Line is 40 kilometres per hour. There are SCHOOL AREA signs (Wc-1) installed in advance of the school in both directions, and a no parking restriction on Quarter Town Line.

The school fronts onto Quarter Town Line and has two driveways. Primary vehicle and pedestrian access to the site is provided via Quarter Town Line, but there is no pedestrian facility that connects the school entrance to the municipal sidewalk on the road. There are no traffic signals or controlled pedestrian crossings on Quarter Town Line near the school. The nearest controlled crossings are located at the stop controlled intersections with North Street West ( 500 metres north of Glendale Drive) and Concession Street (1 kilometre south of Glendale Drive).

Table 3.4 summarizes the key issues identified at this intersection.

### 3.6 Quarter Town Line from Glendale Drive to Concession Street West

This road segment runs through a residential area, where there are no schools. The posted speed limit is 50 kilometres per hour. Table 3.5 summarizes the key issues identified along this section.

TABLE 3.3: KEY ISSUES AT NORTH STREET WEST AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Observation | Description | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obstructed STOP sign | The northbound STOP sign is obstructed by a hydro pole. The pole reduces the advance visibility of the STOP sign and reduces the likelihood of northbound motorists observing the sign well in advance of the intersection. Drivers may find it difficult to safely come to a stop prior entering the intersection. |  |
| Faded crosswalks | Obscured and faded crosswalk lines exist at the intersection. The pavement markings of the pedestrian crosswalks increase the visibility of pedestrians. |  |
| No yellow dividing lines | No yellow dividing lines are provided on the southbound and northbound approaches of the intersection. Yellow dividing lines are one of the cues used to warn road users that an intersection is approaching. OTM Book 11 - Pavement, Hazard and Delineation Markings indicates that dividing lines are to be provided on the approach of an intersection. |  |
| Tripping hazard | An uneven walking surface is present at the curb ramps located on the northwest corner of the intersection. The height differential represents a tripping hazard that exceeds the MMS and ASTM F1637. |  |

TABLE 3.4: KEY ISSUES AT GLENDALE DRIVE AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION (MONSIGNOR O'NEIL CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL)

| Observation | Description | Photo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No controlled crossing located near the school | During school arrival and departure periods, students were observed crossing Quarter Town Line at uncontrolled and undesignated locations. The students that came from the residential neighbourhood northwest of the intersection were observed crossing Quarter Town Line at the uncontrolled intersection of Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line. Students from the neighbourhood southwest of the school were observed crossing at uncontrolled midblock locations. There are no controlled pedestrian crossings located within 500 metres of the school. Crossing at uncontrolled locations exposes students to potential conflicts with the high speed traffic on Quarter Town Line and the turning vehicles entering/exiting the school driveways. |  |

TABLE 3.5: KEY ISSUES ON QUARTER TOWN LINE FROM GLENDALE DRIVE TO CONCESSION STREET WEST

| Observation | Description | Photo |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Tripping hazards | A height differential between the <br> sidewalk and the curb that exceeds the <br> MMS and ASTM F1637 was observed <br> on the west sidewalk located on Quarter <br> Town Line north of Fairway Hills. |  |

TABLE 3.5: KEY ISSUES ON QUARTER TOWN LINE FROM GLENDALE DRIVE TO
CONCESSION STREET WEST

| Observation | Description |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accessibility |  |  |
| issues on the |  |  |
| bridge |  |  |
| sidewalks |  |  |
| located near |  |  |
| Beech |  |  |
| Boulevard |  |  |$\quad$| The following accessibility issues were |
| :--- |
| observed along the east sidewalk of the |
| bridge located on Quarter Town Line |
| near Beech Boulevard: |

### 3.7 Concession Street West and Quarter Town Line Intersection

Concession Street West and Quarter Town Line is an all-way stop controlled intersection with red flashing beacon installed on the eastbound and westbound STOP signs. Marked crosswalks are provided on each approach, including one school crossing on the westbound approach of the intersection. A crossing guard is present at the intersection during school arrival and departure periods to assist children crossing Concession Street West. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of Quarter Town Line and on the south side of Concession Street West east of Quarter Town Line. The posted speed limit on both roadways is 50 kilometres per hour.

Near the intersection, there are no parking restrictions and NO HEAVY TRUCK signs (Rb-62) are installed to restrict access of heavy trucks to Quarter Town Line.

Table 3.6 summarizes the key issues identified at this intersection.

TABLE 3.6: KEY ISSUES AT CONCESSION STREET WEST AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Observation | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Visibility issues on |  |
| the northeast corner |  |
| of the intersection |  | | Given the presence of a series of mature |
| :--- |
| trees along the north side of Concession |
| Street West east of Quarter Town Line, |
| there is an approach sight distance issue |
| on the northeast corner of the |
| intersection. |
| For a design speed of 60 kilometre per |
| hour, an unobstructed sight triangle of |
| 25 metres on the minor road approach |
| and 50 metres on the major road should |
| be provided. At the subject intersection, |
| from 25 metres on the southbound |
| approach, a visibility of 35 metres along |
| the westbound approach is provided. |

### 3.8 Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line Intersection (Westfield Public School)

Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line is a stop controlled intersection that currently operates as three legs. At the time of the field investigation, the westbound approach of the intersection was under construction and was not open to traffic. A marked crosswalk is provided on the eastbound approach of the intersection.

Westfield Public School is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line. Two driveways connect the school to the municipal road network - one onto Dereham Drive and one onto Quarter Town Line approximately 100 metres south of Dereham Drive.

The nearest controlled crossing is at the stop controlled intersection of Quarter Town Line and Concession Street West, approximately 500 metres north of Dereham Drive. A supervised school crossing is provided at the intersection of Esseltine Drive/Sanders Crescent and Quarter Town Line, which is approximately 85 metres south of the school driveway that connects to Quarter Town Line.

Near Westfield Public School, the posted speed limit on Quarter Town Line is 40 kilometres per hour. There are no parking restrictions on Dereham Drive. On Quarter Town Line the parking restrictions are the following:

- NO STOPPING signs that prohibit stopping between 8:00 and 9:00 AM, and 3:00 and 4:00 PM (Monday to Friday). These signs are installed on the west side of Quarter Town Line from Esseltine Drive to the school driveway that connects to Quarter Town Line.
- NO STOPPING signs are installed just north of the school crossing at Esseltine Drive. These signs are located at intervals of approximately 30 metres.

Table 3.7 summarizes the key issues identified at this intersection.

TABLE 3.7: KEY ISSUES AT DEREHAM DRIVE AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION (WESTFIELD PUBLIC SCHOOL)

| Observation | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parking on the <br> east side of <br> Quarter Town <br> Line | On-street parking is permitted on the <br> east side of Quarter Town Line. Vehicles <br> were observed parking on the road here <br> to drop-off and pick-up school <br> child(ren). Since there is no controlled <br> crossing at Dereham Drive, parents are <br> crossing with their child(ren) at <br> uncontrolled and unprotected midblock <br> locations. Although not observed during <br> the field investigation, these conditions <br> could lead to situations where students <br> cross QUARTER TOWN LINE without <br> assistance. |

### 3.9 Quarter Town Line from Dereham Drive to Baldwin Street

From Esseltine Drive to Baldwin Street, Quarter Town Line is a 650 metres uninterrupted road segment without driveways and intersecting roads. There are no parking restrictions on this road segment and the posted speed is 50 kilometres per hour. There are two east-west multi-use trails that connect to Quarter Town Line on this road segment, being:

- The Veterans Memorial Walkway trail that connects the residential neighbourhood west of Quarter Town Line to the downtown of Tillsonburg to the east. This trail intersects with Quarter Town Line approximately 225 metres north of Baldwin Street; and
- A short connecting link provides access from Colin Avenue to the east sidewalk of Quarter Town Line. This trail does not continue west of Quarter Town Line and intersects with the east sidewalk of the road approximately 100 metres north of Baldwin Street.

Table 3.8 summarizes the key issues identified along this section.

### 3.10 Baldwin Street and Quarter Town Line Intersection

Baldwin Street and Quarter Town Line is a stop controlled intersection. There are no marked crosswalks at the intersection, and NO HEAVY TRUCK signs are installed on the southbound and westbound approaches of the intersection to restrict access of heavy trucks. The posted speed on both roadways is 50 kilometres per hour.

Table 3.9 summarizes the key issues identified at this intersection.

## TABLE 3.8: KEY ISSUES ON QUARTER TOWN LINE FROM DEREHAM DRIVE TO BALDWIN STREET

| Observation | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Midblock <br> crossing at <br> uncontrolled <br> locations | Approximately 225 metres north of <br> Baldwin Street, an east-west multi-use <br> trail intersects Quarter Town Line at an <br> uncontrolled midblock location where <br> pedestrian ramps are installed on each <br> side of the roadway. These conditions <br> expose pedestrians and cyclists to <br> conflicts with motorists who may have <br> been ill-prepared to stop for pedestrian <br> crossings at a location where there are <br> no major activity centres on either side <br> other than residential neighbourhoods. |
|  | Approximately 100 metres north of <br> Baldwin Street, a trail connects to the <br> east sidewalk of Quarter Town Line from <br> Colin Avenue. Although this trail does <br> not continue west of Quarter Town Line <br> and there are no curb ramps provided at <br> this location, several pedestrians were <br> observed crossing midblock. These <br> conditions expose pedestrians and <br> cyclists to conflicts with vehicles. |
|  |  |

TABLE 3.9: KEY ISSUES AT BALDWIN STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Observation | Description | Photo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Visibility issues <br> on the northeast <br> corner of the <br> intersection | The presence of a sign within the <br> southwest corner sight triangle is <br> obstructing the departure sight distance <br> of eastbound motorists. The sign is <br> installed to inform people about the <br> reconstruction work on Newell Road. |  |

TABLE 3.9: KEY ISSUES AT BALDWIN STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Observation | Description | Photo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No marked <br> crosswalks | Although there are curb ramps on each <br> corner of the intersection, there are no <br> marked crosswalks installed on the <br> minor street approaches. Marked <br> crosswalks increase the visibility of <br> pedestrians and reduces the likelihood <br> of vehicle-pedestrian collisions. |  |

### 3.11 Quarter Town Line South of Baldwin Street

Approximately 175 metres south of Baldwin Street on Newell Street (Quarter Town Line becomes Newell Street south of Baldwin Street), there are substantial horizontal and vertical curves. The Town requested a review of the warning signs and advisory speed provided prior to the curves.

There is a CURVE sign (Wa-3) installed in advance of the subject curve in each direction. There are no ADVISORY SPEED signs provided and no CHEVRON ALIGNMENT signs are used to guide motorists through the subject curve.

Ball bank field measurements carried out by Paradigm indicated that the maximum safe speed of the curve in the northbound and southbound directions was approximately 40 and 45 kilometres per hour, respectively. Typically, SHARP CURVE signs (Wa-2) should be used instead of CURVE Signs (Wa-3). ADVISORY SPEED tab signs or CHEVRON ALIGNMENT signs are not required, though. ADVISORY SPEED tab signs are only needed when the maximum safe speed is at least 20 kilometres per hour less than the posted speed, and CHEVRON ALIGNMENT signs must be used when ADVISORY SPEED tab signs are provided.

## 4 Potential Remedial Measures and Solutions

### 4.1 Key Issues and General Measures

As identified from the office data analysis and the field investigation, the main safety and operational issues to address within the Quarter Town Line corridor include:

- High operating speeds in school zones and on the road segment immediately north of Baldwin Street. One of the reasons for the high operating speed is the absence of distinctive street features to break up the corridor. Currently, Quarter Town Line consists of three long stretches of roadway (Broadway Street to North Street West, North Street West to Concession Street West, and Concession Street West to Baldwin Street) with uninterrupted conditions, open roadside environment, and wide travel lanes;
- Lack of protected/controlled crossings near Monsignor O'Neil School, Westfield Public School and at the midblock trail crossing north of Baldwin Street, which expose vulnerable road users to conflicts with motorists;
- Visibility issues at South Ridge Road and Concession Street North, which restrict sight distances and increase the risk of collisions; and
- Discontinuity of the Truck Routes as heavy vehicles entering the study corridor from the south are prohibited access to Quarter Town Line and Baldwin Street, and are thus required to turn onto a local residential street to exit the area.

Traditional neighbourhood traffic calming measures, such as bumps, humps, raised crosswalks, chicane, and curb extensions, could be used to reduce operating speeds on the roadway. However, their use would be inconsistent with the arterial road function of Quarter Town Line. As well, few measures would be able to create a sufficiently distinctive road environment that leads to speed reduction. A combination of less intrusive traffic calming measures and protective devices should be implemented to effectively reduce speeds and improve the perceived and actual level of safety on Quarter Town Line.

In identifying measures that have the potential to achieve the greatest safety benefits, one must consider the physical characteristics and nature of the corridor on which the treatments are to be implemented. Unique to the Quarter Town Line corridor is the presence of three schools and a multi-use trail, which generate substantial pedestrian and cyclist volumes. Treatments that reduce operating speeds and provide additional protection to vulnerable road users are preferred.

To achieve predictable driver behaviour and high compliance rates with posted speed limits, it is important to apply treatments that are intuitive for motorists and are consistent along the corridor, which include:

- Controlled Crossings: Install Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C crossings at several locations along at the corridor, including:
- North leg of South Ridge Road and Quarter Town Line;
- South leg of Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line;
- South leg of Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line; and
- Midblock location where Veterans Memorial Walkway trail intersects with Quarter Town Line.
- Warning Signs within School Areas: Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) with School Zone Maximum Speed 40 Kilometres per Hour When Flashing signs and associated School Zone Flasher Systems (RB-6A) at the three school areas.
- Bicycle Facilities: Install on-road bike lanes on Quarter Town Line from Baldwin Street to North Street West. The 2014 Oxford County Trail Master Plan identifies the possibility of converting the abandoned rail line crossing Quarter Town Line to a multi-use trail. The abandoned rail corridor runs east-west and is located approximately 275 metres south of North Street West. This plan also proposed implementing a Signed Bike Route on Glendale Drive. As a result, bike lanes between Baldwin Street and North Street West would connect the east-west trails intersecting Quarter Town Line and provide access to the main generators of bike traffic including Monsignor O'Neil Catholic Elementary School, Westfield Public School and Tillsonburg Minor Soccer facility.

The following subsections provide further details about the specific treatments proposed at the various locations within the Quarter Town Line corridor.

### 4.2 Broadway Street and Quarter Town Line Intersection

Broadway Street and Quarter Town Line is a skewed intersection with visibility issues on the northbound approach of the intersection and inadequate traffic control devices (pavement markings and warning signs) in advance of the intersection. The following proposed remedial measures are intended to address the visibility issues and provide consistent and adequate traffic control devices:

- Replace the existing oversize STOP sign (Ra-101) with a special oversize STOP sign (Ra-1101) on the northbound approach of the intersection to increase the visibility of the STOP sign within the stopping sight distance.
- Install white dashed lines that delineate the right-turn taper on Broadway Street for Quarter Town Line, as indicated in OTM Book 11 page 74).
- Replace the existing CURVE sign (Wa-3L) installed on the eastbound approach of the intersection with a warning sign with a black line to indicate the presence of the intersection along the horizontal curve on Broadway Street at Quarter Town Line. The curve warning sign should be identical to the sign used on the westbound approach of the intersection.
- Install white edge lines and a yellow dividing line on the northbound approach that extends approximately 60 metres from the stop bar. Such pavement marking will provide additional cues to motorists of the presence of the intersection.
- Install a TURN Sign (Wa-1R) on Quarter Town Line approximately 100 metres from the curve to warn motorists of the abrupt change in direction of the roadway.


### 4.3 South Ridge Road and Quarter Town Line Intersection (South Ridge Public School)

At this intersection, uncontrolled pedestrian crossings, visibility concerns and high operating speeds on Quarter Town Line near South Ridge Public School were observed. To increase the level of safety of vulnerable road users at the intersection, the Town should consider the following measures:

- Move the school crossing approximately 5 metres further north to eliminate conflicts with the driveway located on the east side of the roadway and reduce visibility issues due to the presence of a hydro pole on the curb ramp on the northwest corner of the intersection.
- Relocate the SCHOOL CROSSING sign on the east side of the roadway immediately adjacent to the school crossing, which is located on the southbound approach.
- Remove the curb ramps of the uncontrolled midblock crossing located approximately 50 metres north of South Ridge Road, as they currently promote uncontrolled midblock crossings.
- Remove the curb ramp installed on the southeast corner of the intersection to discourage pedestrians from crossing at an unmarked location and where there is school crossing provided on the southbound approach.
- Assess the surface conditions of the pedestrian areas on the southwest corner of the intersection and identify where marking, grinding, or ramping the height differentials is required to meet MMS and ASTM F1635 standards.
- Implement a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C controlled crossing on the northbound approach. Traffic volumes on Quarter

Town Line do not warrant the installation of a PXO Level 2 Type B device. The main advantage of a controlled crossing would be to provide protection for pedestrians crossing the intersection outside the school arrival and departure periods.

- Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) with SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM SPEED WHEN FLASHING signs (RB-6A) to better convey that the speed reduction is due to the presence of a school and potential conflicts with children. Note that installation of the RB6A signs would require a municipal by-law to be adopted by Town Council.
- Install curb extensions (bulb-outs) on the northeast and northwest corners of the intersection, which would reduce the effective roadway width and encourage pedestrians to cross at a designated location. The restricted street width would provide a visual cue to motorists to slow down through the intersection. This measure would also eliminate the visibility issue present on the northwest corner of the intersection, as the location where a pedestrian could stop before entering the roadway would be moved further into the physical area of the intersection.


### 4.4 North Street West and Quarter Town Line Intersection

The safety issues identified at the intersection of Quarter Town Line and North Street West were primarily related to deficiencies with traffic control devices (pavement markings, regulatory and warning signs). The following remedial measures are intended to better warn and inform motorists of the potential conflicts at the intersection:

- Relocate the northbound STOP sign onto the hydro pole located immediately south to provide a clear and unobstructed view of the sign.
- Repaint the obscured and faded crosswalk lines at the intersection.
- Install yellow dividing lines on the northbound and southbound approaches of the intersection. The yellow lines should be installed a minimum distance of 60 metres in advance of the intersection.
- Assess the surface conditions of the pedestrian areas on the northwest corner of the intersection and identify where marking, grinding, or ramping the height differentials is required to meet MMS and ASTM F16375 standards.


### 4.5 Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line Intersection (Monsignor O'Neil Catholic Elementary School)

Quarter Town Line near Monsignor O'Neil Catholic Elementary School was identified as a road segment with high operating speeds. It is also a location where the nearest controlled and/or supervised crossing is located more
than 500 metres from the school. Therefore, the following remedial measures should be considered by the Town to improve the level of safety of all road users, with an emphasis on the safety of vulnerable road users:

- Provide a supervised school crossing on the northbound approach of Quarter Town Line and Glendale Drive intersection. The nearest school crossing is located more than 500 metres from this intersection and numerous students were observed crossing Quarter Town Line at uncontrolled and unprotected midblock locations during the school arrival and departure periods.
- Implement a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C controlled crossing on the northbound approach of the intersection. Traffic volumes on Quarter Town Line do not warrant the installation of a PXO Level 2 Type B device.
- Install curb extensions (bulb-outs) on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersections, which would reduce the effective roadway width and encourage pedestrians to cross at a designated location. The restricted street width would provide a visual cue to motorists and encourage drivers to slow down through the intersection.
- Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) with SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM SPEED WHEN FLASHING signs (RB-6A) to better convey that the speed reduction is due to the presence of a school and potential conflicts with children. Note that installation of the RB6 A signs would require a municipal by-law to be adopted by Town Council.


### 4.6 Quarter Town Line from Glendale Drive to Concession Street West

Accessibility issues with the sidewalks present on Quarter Town Line between North Street West and Concession Street West were identified. The following remedial measures are intended to improve the walking surface of the sidewalks provided on this road segment:

- Assess the surface conditions of the following sidewalks to identify where marking, grinding, or ramping is required to meet MMS and ASTM F1635 standards:
- West sidewalk on Quarter Town Line north of Fairway Hills; and
- East sidewalk of the bridge on Quarter Town Line near Beech Boulevard.
- Address the longitudinal gap of approximately 40 millimetres between the sidewalk and the curb of the east sidewalk located on the bridge near Beech Boulevard, by filling it with asphalt or by inserting a rubber filling.
- Install bike lanes. Currently, the road is approximately 10 metres wide. This allows the implementation of 1.7 metre bike lanes with 3.3 metre travel lanes in each direction.


### 4.7 Concession Street West and Quarter Town Line Intersection

Visibility issues were identified at the intersection of Quarter Town Line and Concession Street West, as well as deficiencies with pavement markings. The potential treatments described below are presented from the least to the most disruptive:

- Repaint the crosswalk lines to clearly delineate where pedestrians are expected to cross the intersection.
- Install NO PARKING signs on each corner of the intersection to ensure clear sightlines are provided. The parking restrictions should extend approximately 30 metres.
- Relocate the northbound and southbound stop bars closer to the edge of the opposite direction travel lanes, at a location where adequate departure sight distances are provided.
- Install STOP AHEAD signs on the northbound and southbound approaches to increase the likelihood of motorists stopping at the intersection given the reduced approach sight distances. The Town may consider installing red flashing beacons at the northbound and southbound STOP signs. Note that red flashing beacons are provided on the eastbound and westbound approaches to increase the visibility of the STOP signs.
- Reduce the intersection corners radii to better delineate the physical area of the intersection, reduce the pedestrian crossing distance, and decrease turning traffic speed.
- Convert the stop controlled intersection to a roundabout to minimize the impacts of the reduced visibility at the intersection and reduce the likelihood of experiencing collision types that tend to result in severe collisions such as angle and turning movement collisions.


### 4.8 Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line Intersection (Westfield Public School)

The primary safety issue identified near Westfield Public School consisted of pedestrians crossing Quarter Town Line at uncontrolled and unprotected midblock locations due the distance between the nearest controlled intersection. To improve the level of safety of pedestrians near the Westfield Public School, the following remedial measures should be considered:

- Implement a supervised school crossing on the northbound approach of the Quarter Town Line and Dereham Drive intersection
to provide a continuous protected route for students travelling to the school from the residential area located north of Dereham Drive. The Town should also monitor traffic volumes at the Quarter Town Line and Dereham Drive intersection once the westbound approach of opens, as the traffic volume increase may justify changes to the traffic control devices.
- Implement a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C controlled crossing on the northbound approach. Traffic volumes on Quarter Town Line do not warrant the implementation a PXO Level 2 Type B.
- Install NO STOPPING signs during the school arrival and departure periods (between 8:00 and 9:00 AM, and 3:00 and 4:00 PM (Monday to Friday)) on both sides of Quarter Town Line from Esseltine Drive to Dereham Drive to encourage parents to use the pick-up/drop-off area located on the school property.
- Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) with SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM SPEED WHEN FLASHING signs (RB-6A) to better convey that the speed reduction is due to the presence of a school and potential conflicts with children. Note that installation of the RB6A signs would require a municipal by-law to be adopted by Town Council.
- Provide curb ramps on Dereham Drive near the school driveway to provide an accessible route for people with reduced mobility.
- Install curb extensions (bulb-outs) on the southeast and southwest corners of Quarter Town Line and Dereham Drive intersection.


### 4.9 Quarter Town Line from Dereham Drive to Baldwin Street

Quarter Town Line, especially between Esseltine Drive and Baldwin Street, experiences high operating speeds, mainly because of the open roadside environment conditions, the wide travel lanes, and the uninterrupted conditions on more than a kilometre road segment. There are no driveways on this section of the road.

To reduce operating speeds and better protect vulnerable road users crossing Quarter Town Line at midblock locations, the Town should consider the following remedial measures:

- Install Pedestrian Crossover Level 2 Type C - Mid-block (2-lane, 2way) Treatments at the midblock Veterans Memorial Walkway trail crossing as outlined in the OTM Book 15 - Pedestrian Crossing. The installation of a midblock controlled crossing would encourage pedestrians to cross at a designated location and potentially reduce the likelihood of pedestrians jaywalking where the trail from Colin Avenue connects to the east sidewalk of Quarter Town Line.
- Install curb extensions at the midblock Veterans Memorial Walkway trail crossing, which would reduce the effective roadway width and provide a visual cue to motorists of potential conflicts with pedestrians/cyclists.
- Install bike lanes. Currently, the road is approximately 10 metres wide. This allows the implementation of 1.7 metre bike lanes with 3.3 metre travel lanes in each direction.


### 4.10 Baldwin Street and Quarter Town Line Intersection

Visibility issues and deficiencies with pavement markings were identified at the intersection of Quarter Town Line and Baldwin Street. Potential treatments include:

- Relocate the sign providing information about the reconstruction work on Newell Road outside the southwest sight triangle to improve the eastbound departure sight distance.
- Mark the eastbound and westbound crosswalks to clearly delineate where pedestrians are expected to cross the intersection.

In addition, under current conditions, a truck travelling northbound at the intersection is prohibited to make a right-turn onto Baldwin Street or to continue straight on Quarter Town Line. These vehicles are required to make a left-turn and enter a residential subdivision to depart the area. The Town should consider permitting heavy vehicle access to Baldwin Street east of Quarter Town Line, providing a connection to Broadway Street. Heavy vehicles marking this manoeuvre are not expected to experience any issues with making turns at the Baldwin Street and Broadway Street intersection.

### 4.11 Quarter Town Line South of Baldwin Street

The Town should replace the two CURVE signs (Wa-3) on Newell Road with SHARP CURVE signs (Wa-2), consistent with the findings of the field investigation.

## 5 Implementation Phasing Strategy

### 5.1 Recommended Plan and Indicative Costs

Tables 5.1 to 5.9 provide the recommended implementation plan for improvements to the Quarter Town Line corridor. The proposed remedial measures have been divided in two phases. Phase 1 represents measures that should be prioritized by the Town and implemented in the near term. Phase 2 are remedial measures that the Town should consider if the Phase 1 treatments do not achieve the expected speed reduction and safety improvement.

The tables also provide indicative costs for the different measures, separated into three categories:

- Low: Less than \$500
- Medium: \$500 to \$5,000
- High: More than \$5,000


## TABLE 5.1: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES AT BROADWAY STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 | Low |
| Install white dashed lines to delineate the right-turn taper on Highway 19/ <br> Broadway Street for Quarter Town Line | Low |
| Replace the existing CURVE sign (Wa-3L) on the eastbound approach with a <br> warning sign with a black line to denote the presence of the intersection | Low |
| Install white edge lines and a yellow dividing line on the northbound approach | Low |
| Install a TURN sign (Wa-1R) approximately 100 metres from the curve | Low |
| Install a special oversize STOP sign (Ra-1101) on the northbound approach |  |

TABLE 5.2: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES AT SOUTH RIDGE ROAD AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 | Medium |
| Move the school crossing further north by approximately 5 metres | Low |
| Relocate the SCHOOL CROSSING sign on the east side of the roadway <br> immediately adjacent to the school crossing | Medium |
| Remove the curb ramps at the uncontrolled midblock crossing approximately <br> 50 metres north of South Ridge Road | Medium |
| Remove the curb ramp on the southeast corner | Low to <br> Medium |
| Assess the surface conditions of the pedestrian areas on the southwest corner <br> and identify where marking, grinding, or ramping the height is required | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 25,000$ |
| Implement a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C on the southbound <br> approach | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 5,000$ |
| Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA signs (Wc-1) with SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM <br> SPEED WHEN FLASHING signs (RB-6A) | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 10,000$ |
| Phase 2 | Install curb extensions (bulb-outs) on the southeast and southwest corners |

TABLE 5.3: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES AT NORTH STREET WEST AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 | Low |
| Relocate the northbound STOP sign onto the hydro pole immediately south | Low |
| Remark the obscured and faded crosswalk lines | Low |
| Install yellow dividing lines on the northbound and southbound approaches | Low to <br> Medium |

TABLE 5.4: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES AT GLENDALE DRIVE AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION (MONSIGNOR O'NEIL CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL)

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 | High |
| Provide a supervised school crossing on the northbound approach | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 25,000$ |
| Implement a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C on the northbound <br> approach | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 5,000$ |
| Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) with SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM <br> SPEED WHEN FLASHING signs (RB-6A) | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 10,000$ |
| Phase 2 | Install curb extensions (bulb-outs) on the southeast and southwest corners |

TABLE 5.5: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES ON QUARTER TOWN LINE FROM NORTH STREET WEST TO CONCESSION STREET WEST

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 |  |
| Assess the surface conditions of the sidewalks to identify where marking, grinding, <br> or ramping is required | Low to <br> Medium |
| Address the longitudinal gap of approximately 40 millimetres between the <br> sidewalk and the curb of the east sidewalk on the bridge near Beech Boulevard by <br> filling it with asphalt or by inserting a rubber filling | Low to <br> Medium |
| Phase 2 |  |
| Install bike lanes | High |

TABLE 5.6: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES AT CONCESSION STREET WEST AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Phase 1 Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Remark the crosswalk lines | Low |
| Install NO PARKING signs on each corner to ensure clear sightlines are provided | Medium |
| Relocate the northbound and southbound stop bars closer to the edge of the <br> opposite direction travel lanes | Low |
| Install stop ahead signs on the northbound and southbound approaches | Low |
| Reduce the corners radii | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 10,000$ |
| Phase 2 | High <br> More than <br> $\$ 100,000$ |
| Convert the stop controlled intersection to a roundabout |  |

TABLE 5.7: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES AT DEREHAM DRIVE AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION (WESTFIELD PUBLIC SCHOOL)

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 | High |
| Implement a supervised school crossing on the northbound approach | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 25,000$ |
| Implement a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C on the northbound <br> approach | Medium |
| Install NO STOPPING signs during the school arrival and departure periods on <br> both sides of Quarter Town Line from Esseltine Drive to Dereham Drive | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 5,000$ |
| Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) by SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM <br> SPEED WHEN FLASHING signs (RB-6A) | Medium |
| Provide curb ramps on Dereham Drive near the school driveway | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 10,000$ |
| Phase 2 |  |
| Install curb extensions (bulb-outs) on the southeast and southwest corners |  |

TABLE 5.8: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES ON QUARTER TOWN LINE FROM DEREHAM DRIVE TO BALDWIN STREET

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 | High <br> Implement a Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C at the midblock Veterans <br> Memorial Walkway trail crossing <br> Phase 2Approximately <br> $\$ 25,000$ |
| Install curb extensions (bulb-outs) at the midblock Veterans Memorial Walkway <br> trail crossing | High <br> Approximately <br> $\$ 10,000$ |

TABLE 5.9: PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES AT BALDWIN STREET AND QUARTER TOWN LINE INTERSECTION

| Remedial Measures | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phase 1 | Low |
| Relocate the sign providing information about the reconstruction work on Newell <br> Road outside the southwest sight triangle | Low |
| Mark the eastbound and westbound crosswalks | Low |
| Designate Baldwin Street as a truck route |  |

## 6 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 6.1 Conclusions

The purpose of this study is to identify economically feasible remedial actions to existing and anticipated traffic operations and safety concerns within the Quarter Town Line corridor. The operational analysis concluded that all intersections currently operate at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours. However, speed surveys found that high operating speeds were observed along the subject road corridor.

A field investigation conducted on September 26, 2017 identified the following safety and operational issues along the study corridor:

- High operating speeds in school zones and on the road segment immediately north of Baldwin Street. One of the reasons for the high operating speed is the absence of distinctive street features to break up the corridor;
- Lack of protected/controlled crossings near Monsignor O'Neil School, Westfield Public School and at the midblock trail crossing north of Baldwin Street, which expose vulnerable road users to conflicts with motorists;
- Visibility issues at South Ridge Road and Concession Street North, which restrict sight distances and increase the risk of collisions; and
- Discontinuity of the Truck Routes as heavy vehicles entering the study corridor from the south are prohibited access to Quarter Town Line and Baldwin Street, and are thus required to turn onto a local residential street to exit the area.


### 6.2 Recommendations

To address the above issues, treatments that would reduce vehicle speeds and provide additional protection to vulnerable road users were identified. The key remedial actions recommended for the subject corridor consist of the following:

- Install Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Level 2 Type C crossings at the following locations:
- North leg of South Ridge Road and Quarter Town Line;
- South leg of Glendale Drive and Quarter Town Line;
- South leg of Dereham Drive and Quarter Town Line; and
- Midblock location where Veterans Memorial Walkway trail intersects with Quarter Town Line.
- Replace the existing SCHOOL AREA sign (Wc-1) with SCHOOL ZONE MAXIMUM SPEED 40 KILOMETRES PER HOUR WHEN FLASHING signs and associated School Zone Flasher Systems (RB6 A) at the three school areas.
- Install on-road bike lanes on Quarter Town Line from Baldwin Street to North Street West. The proposed bike lanes would connect the east-west trails intersecting Quarter Town Line and provide access to the main generators of bike traffic along the corridor including Monsignor O'Neil Catholic Elementary School, Westfield Public School and Tillsonburg Minor Soccer facility.

Several site specific remedial actions are recommended to address issues related to traffic control devices, visibility, and surface condition.

## Appendix A

Detailed Count Data


| 6:15 PM | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 114 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6:30 PM | 2 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 103 |
| 6:45 PM | 2 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 113 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 39 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 64 | 34 | 39 | 44 | 0 | 2 | 117 | 14 | 47 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 92 | 61 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 149 | 422 |
| Grand Total | 45 | 378 | 168 | 0 | 8 | 591 | 233 | 344 | 480 | 0 | 35 | 1057 | 150 | 536 | 275 | 0 | 3 | 961 | 481 | 700 | 61 | 0 | 10 | 1242 | 3851 |
| Approach \% | 7.6 | 64.0 | 28.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 22.0 | 32.5 | 45.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 15.6 | 55.8 | 28.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 38.7 | 56.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.2 | 9.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 | - | 15.3 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 12.5 | 0.0 | - | 27.4 | 3.9 | 13.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 | - | 25.0 | 12.5 | 18.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | - | 32.3 | - |
| Lights | 44 | 358 | 165 | 0 | - | 567 | 225 | 325 | 473 | 0 | - | 1023 | 144 | 525 | 267 | 0 | - | 936 | 469 | 674 | 61 | 0 | - | 1204 | 3730 |
| \% Lights | 97.8 | 94.7 | 98.2 | - | - | 95.9 | 96.6 | 94.5 | 98.5 | - | - | 96.8 | 96.0 | 97.9 | 97.1 | - | - | 97.4 | 97.5 | 96.3 | 100.0 | - | - | 96.9 | 96.9 |
| Mediums | 1 | 18 | 2 | 0 | - | 21 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 0 | - | 33 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 0 | - | 19 | 11 | 24 | 0 | 0 | - | 35 | 108 |
| \% Mediums | 2.2 | 4.8 | 1.2 | - | - | 3.6 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 1.5 | - | - | 3.1 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | - | - | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | - | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 13 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | . | - | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Data Plot

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 22 King Street South, Suite 300
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Count Name: North Street West \& Quarter Town Line
Site Code:
09/26/2017
Page No: 4

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:00 AM)

| Start Time | North Street West Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | North Street West Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. |  |
| 8:00 AM | 3 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 29 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 12 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 146 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 16 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 127 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 3 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 116 |
| 8:45 AM | 5 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 152 |
| Total | 8 | 59 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 99 | 30 | 31 | 64 | 0 | 7 | 125 | 16 | 85 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 70 | 98 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 177 | 541 |
| Approach \% | 8.1 | 59.6 | 32.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 24.0 | 24.8 | 51.2 | 0.0 | - | - | 11.4 | 60.7 | 27.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 39.5 | 55.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.5 | 10.9 | 5.9 | 0.0 | - | 18.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 0.0 | - | 23.1 | 3.0 | 15.7 | 7.2 | 0.0 | - | 25.9 | 12.9 | 18.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | - | 32.7 | - |
| PHF | 0.400 | 0.702 | 0.571 | 0.000 | - | 0.728 | 0.682 | 0.775 | 0.727 | 0.000 | - | 0.781 | 0.500 | 0.733 | 0.696 | 0.000 | - | 0.714 | 0.625 | 0.721 | 0.750 | 0.000 | - | 0.776 | 0.890 |
| Lights | 8 | 55 | 30 | 0 | - | 93 | 27 | 26 | 62 | 0 | - | 115 | 15 | 82 | 38 | 0 | - | 135 | 67 | 94 | 9 | 0 | - | 170 | 513 |
| \% Lights | 100.0 | 93.2 | 93.8 | - | - | 93.9 | 90.0 | 83.9 | 96.9 | - | - | 92.0 | 93.8 | 96.5 | 97.4 | - | - | 96.4 | 95.7 | 95.9 | 100.0 | - | - | 96.0 | 94.8 |
| Mediums | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | - | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | - | 10 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | - | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | 6 | 27 |
| \% Mediums | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.3 | - | - | 6.1 | 10.0 | 16.1 | 3.1 | - | - | 8.0 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 2.6 | - | - | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 3.4 | 5.0 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (8:00 AM)

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 22 King Street South, Suite 300
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Count Name: North Street West \& Quarter Town Line
Site Code:
09/26/2017
Page No: 6

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:45 PM)

| Start Time | North Street West Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | North Street West Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 13 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 7 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 8 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 142 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 20 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 24 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 164 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 14 | 19 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 19 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 154 |
| 5:30 PM | 1 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 13 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 49 | 9 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 25 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 155 |
| Total | 7 | 50 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 48 | 65 | 107 | 0 | 2 | 220 | 22 | 71 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 76 | 108 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 615 |
| Approach \% | 8.5 | 61.0 | 30.5 | 0.0 | - | - | 21.8 | 29.5 | 48.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 17.9 | 57.7 | 24.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 40.0 | 56.8 | 3.2 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.1 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | - | 13.3 | 7.8 | 10.6 | 17.4 | 0.0 | - | 35.8 | 3.6 | 11.5 | 4.9 | 0.0 | - | 20.0 | 12.4 | 17.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | 30.9 | - |
| PHF | 0.583 | 0.694 | 0.625 | 0.000 | - | 0.661 | 0.600 | 0.813 | 0.863 | 0.000 | - | 0.948 | 0.611 | 0.807 | 0.750 | 0.000 | - | 0.904 | 0.760 | 0.871 | 0.500 | 0.000 | - | 0.880 | 0.938 |
| Lights | 7 | 49 | 25 | 0 | - | 81 | 48 | 63 | 105 | 0 | - | 216 | 22 | 71 | 30 | 0 | - | 123 | 75 | 107 | 6 | 0 | - | 188 | 608 |
| \% Lights | 100.0 | 98.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.8 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 98.1 | - | - | 98.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 98.7 | 99.1 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.9 | 98.9 |
| Mediums | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 6 |
| \% Mediums | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 1.9 | - | - | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:45 PM)


| 6:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6:30 PM | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 65 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 64 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 25 | 8 | 47 | 0 | 6 | 80 | 5 | 27 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 41 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 228 |
| Grand Total | 46 | 158 | 21 | 1 | 8 | 226 | 300 | 118 | 404 | 0 | 22 | 822 | 23 | 274 | 368 | 0 | 10 | 665 | 466 | 227 | 51 | 3 | 8 | 747 | 2460 |
| Approach \% | 20.4 | 69.9 | 9.3 | 0.4 | - | - | 36.5 | 14.4 | 49.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 3.5 | 41.2 | 55.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 62.4 | 30.4 | 6.8 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.9 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | 9.2 | 12.2 | 4.8 | 16.4 | 0.0 | - | 33.4 | 0.9 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 0.0 | - | 27.0 | 18.9 | 9.2 | 2.1 | 0.1 | - | 30.4 | - |
| Lights | 46 | 158 | 21 | 1 | - | 226 | 292 | 118 | 390 | 0 | - | 800 | 22 | 263 | 363 | 0 | - | 648 | 456 | 213 | 51 | 3 | - | 723 | 2397 |
| \% Lights | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 97.3 | 100.0 | 96.5 | - | - | 97.3 | 95.7 | 96.0 | 98.6 | - | $\checkmark$ | 97.4 | 97.9 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 96.8 | 97.4 |
| Mediums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 0 | - | 19 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 0 | - | 15 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | - | 22 | 56 |
| \% Mediums | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | - | - | 2.3 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 1.4 | - | - | 2.3 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Data Plot
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:15 AM)

| Start Time | Baldwin Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Baldwin Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Int. Total |
| 8:15 AM | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 16 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 88 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 69 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 33 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 97 |
| 9:00 AM | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 89 |
| Total | 6 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 42 | 40 | 13 | 58 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 0 | 28 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 76 | 77 | 29 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 114 | 343 |
| Approach \% | 14.3 | 76.2 | 9.5 | 0.0 | - | - | 36.0 | 11.7 | 52.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.0 | - | - | 67.5 | 25.4 | 6.1 | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.7 | 9.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | - | 12.2 | 11.7 | 3.8 | 16.9 | 0.0 | - | 32.4 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 14.0 | 0.0 | - | 22.2 | 22.4 | 8.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | - | 33.2 | - |
| PHF | 0.500 | 0.800 | 0.500 | 0.000 | - | 0.808 | 0.769 | 0.650 | 0.906 | 0.000 | - | 0.841 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 0.000 | - | 0.826 | 0.583 | 0.725 | 0.875 | 0.250 | - | 0.695 | 0.884 |
| Lights | 6 | 32 | 4 | 0 | - | 42 | 39 | 13 | 57 | 0 | - | 109 | 0 | 27 | 47 | 0 | - | 74 | 75 | 28 | 7 | 1 | - | 111 | 336 |
| \% Lights | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 97.5 | 100.0 | 98.3 | - | - | 98.2 | - | 96.4 | 97.9 | - | - | 97.4 | 97.4 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 97.4 | 98.0 |
| Mediums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 6 |
| \% Mediums | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 | - | - | 1.8 | - | 3.6 | 2.1 | - | - | 2.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 1 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (3:00 PM)

| Start Time | Baldwin Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Baldwin Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Int. Total |
| 3:00 PM | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 18 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 114 |
| 3:15 PM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 102 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 88 |
| 3:45 PM | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 28 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 93 |
| Total | 9 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 33 | 26 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 4 | 43 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 78 | 31 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 122 | 397 |
| Approach \% | 29.0 | 61.3 | 6.5 | 3.2 | - | - | 24.6 | 19.4 | 56.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 3.6 | 39.1 | 57.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 63.9 | 25.4 | 9.8 | 0.8 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 2.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | - | 7.8 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 18.9 | 0.0 | - | 33.8 | 1.0 | 10.8 | 15.9 | 0.0 | - | 27.7 | 19.6 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 0.3 | - | 30.7 | - |
| PHF | 0.563 | 0.679 | 0.500 | 0.250 | - | 0.861 | 0.635 | 0.650 | 0.647 | 0.000 | - | 0.744 | 0.500 | 0.597 | 0.630 | 0.000 | - | 0.611 | 0.696 | 0.554 | 0.600 | 0.250 | - | 0.709 | 0.871 |
| Lights | 9 | 19 | 2 | 1 | - | 31 | 32 | 26 | 73 | 0 | - | 131 | 4 | 42 | 62 | 0 | - | 108 | 75 | 29 | 12 | 1 | - | 117 | 387 |
| \% Lights | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 97.3 | - | - | 97.8 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 98.4 | - | - | 98.2 | 96.2 | 93.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 95.9 | 97.5 |
| Mediums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | 10 |
| \% Mediums | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | - | - | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 | - | - | 1.8 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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| 6:15 PM | 2 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 23 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6:30 PM | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 81 |
| 6:45 PM | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 108 |
| Hourly Total | 8 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 18 | 22 | 65 | 0 | 5 | 105 | 4 | 68 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 73 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 366 |
| Grand Total | 37 | 293 | 68 | 0 | 32 | 398 | 222 | 283 | 449 | 0 | 27 | 954 | 64 | 577 | 322 | 0 | 11 | 963 | 486 | 624 | 47 | 0 | 10 | 1157 | 3472 |
| Approach \% | 9.3 | 73.6 | 17.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 23.3 | 29.7 | 47.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 6.6 | 59.9 | 33.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 42.0 | 53.9 | 4.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.1 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | - | 11.5 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 0.0 | - | 27.5 | 1.8 | 16.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 | - | 27.7 | 14.0 | 18.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | - | 33.3 | - |
| Lights | 34 | 284 | 66 | 0 | - | 384 | 196 | 272 | 437 | 0 | - | 905 | 63 | 559 | 288 | 0 | - | 910 | 470 | 597 | 44 | 0 | - | 1111 | 3310 |
| \% Lights | 91.9 | 96.9 | 97.1 | - | - | 96.5 | 88.3 | 96.1 | 97.3 | - | - | 94.9 | 98.4 | 96.9 | 89.4 | - | - | 94.5 | 96.7 | 95.7 | 93.6 | - | - | 96.0 | 95.3 |
| Mediums | 3 | 9 | 2 | 0 | - | 14 | 26 | 11 | 12 | 0 | - | 49 | 1 | 13 | 34 | 0 | - | 48 | 16 | 23 | 2 | 0 | - | 41 | 152 |
| \% Mediums | 8.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 | - | - | 3.5 | 11.7 | 3.9 | 2.7 | - | - | 5.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 10.6 | - | - | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.3 | - | - | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | - | 5 | 10 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.1 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:00 AM)

| Start Time | Concession Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Concession Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Int. Total |
| 8:00 AM | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 14 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 103 |
| 8:15 AM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 25 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 114 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 34 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 11 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 42 | 116 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 16 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 1 | 20 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 46 | 27 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 62 | 171 |
| Total | 4 | 46 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 64 | 42 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 13 | 112 | 12 | 67 | 53 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 77 | 112 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 196 | 504 |
| Approach \% | 6.3 | 71.9 | 21.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 37.5 | 26.8 | 35.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 9.1 | 50.8 | 40.2 | 0.0 | - | - | 39.3 | 57.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.8 | 9.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | - | 12.7 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | - | 22.2 | 2.4 | 13.3 | 10.5 | 0.0 | - | 26.2 | 15.3 | 22.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | - | 38.9 | - |
| PHF | 0.500 | 0.639 | 0.438 | 0.000 | - | 0.615 | 0.656 | 0.682 | 0.667 | 0.000 | - | 0.757 | 0.750 | 0.798 | 0.530 | 0.000 | - | 0.717 | 0.713 | 0.824 | 0.875 | 0.000 | - | 0.790 | 0.737 |
| Lights | 3 | 43 | 13 | 0 | - | 59 | 37 | 25 | 36 | 0 | - | 98 | 12 | 64 | 44 | 0 | - | 120 | 69 | 103 | 7 | 0 | - | 179 | 456 |
| \% Lights | 75.0 | 93.5 | 92.9 | - | - | 92.2 | 88.1 | 83.3 | 90.0 | - | - | 87.5 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 83.0 | - | - | 90.9 | 89.6 | 92.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 91.3 | 90.5 |
| Mediums | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | - | 14 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | - | 12 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | - | 14 | 45 |
| \% Mediums | 25.0 | 6.5 | 7.1 | - | - | 7.8 | 11.9 | 16.7 | 10.0 | - | $\checkmark$ | 12.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 17.0 | - | - | 9.1 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 7.1 | 8.9 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (3:00 PM)

| Start Time | Concession Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Concession Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Int. Total |
| 3:00 PM | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 22 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 124 |
| 3:15 PM | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 19 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 134 |
| 3:30 PM | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 45 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 13 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 159 |
| 3:45 PM | 2 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 9 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 16 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 131 |
| Total | 10 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 53 | 34 | 45 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 7 | 111 | 59 | 0 | 4 | 177 | 70 | 92 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 548 |
| Approach \% | 18.9 | 62.3 | 18.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 22.4 | 29.6 | 48.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 4.0 | 62.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 42.2 | 55.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.8 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | - | 9.7 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 13.3 | 0.0 | - | 27.7 | 1.3 | 20.3 | 10.8 | 0.0 | - | 32.3 | 12.8 | 16.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | - | 30.3 | - |
| PHF | 0.625 | 0.589 | 0.500 | 0.000 | - | 0.631 | 0.654 | 0.750 | 0.913 | 0.000 | - | 0.927 | 0.438 | 0.617 | 0.546 | 0.000 | - | 0.582 | 0.795 | 0.793 | 1.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.847 | 0.862 |
| Lights | 10 | 32 | 9 | 0 | - | 51 | 30 | 43 | 67 | 0 | - | 140 | 6 | 107 | 54 | 0 | - | 167 | 62 | 88 | 3 | 0 | - | 153 | 511 |
| \% Lights | 100.0 | 97.0 | 90.0 | - | - | 96.2 | 88.2 | 95.6 | 91.8 | - | - | 92.1 | 85.7 | 96.4 | 91.5 | - | - | 94.4 | 88.6 | 95.7 | 75.0 | - | - | 92.2 | 93.2 |
| Mediums | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | - | 12 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | - | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | - | 13 | 35 |
| \% Mediums | 0.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | - | - | 3.8 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 8.2 | - | - | 7.9 | 14.3 | 1.8 | 8.5 | - | - | 4.5 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 25.0 | - | - | 7.8 | 6.4 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | 100.0 | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - |
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| 6:30 PM | 2 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 65 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6:45 PM | 4 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 51 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 110 |
| Hourly Total | 11 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 41 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 241 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 548 |
| Grand Total | 90 | 2049 | 1 | 0 | 2140 | 453 | 76 | 1 | 1 | 530 | 2102 | 563 | 0 | 0 | 2665 | 5335 |
| Approach \% | 4.2 | 95.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 85.5 | 14.3 | 0.2 | - | - | 78.9 | 21.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 1.7 | 38.4 | 0.0 | - | 40.1 | 8.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | - | 9.9 | 39.4 | 10.6 | 0.0 | - | 50.0 | - |
| Lights | 86 | 1898 | 1 | - | 1985 | 438 | 73 | 1 | - | 512 | 1938 | 530 | 0 | - | 2468 | 4965 |
| \% Lights | 95.6 | 92.6 | 100.0 | - | 92.8 | 96.7 | 96.1 | 100.0 | - | 96.6 | 92.2 | 94.1 | - | - | 92.6 | 93.1 |
| Mediums | 4 | 81 | 0 | - | 85 | 14 | 3 | 0 | - | 17 | 78 | 32 | 0 | - | 110 | 212 |
| \% Mediums | 4.4 | 4.0 | 0.0 | - | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | - | 3.2 | 3.7 | 5.7 | - | - | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 70 | 0 | - | 70 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 86 | 1 | 0 | - | 87 | 158 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | - | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.2 | 4.1 | 0.2 | - | - | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:15 PM)
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| 6:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6:30 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 |
| 6:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 47 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 27 | 2 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 13 | 50 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 69 | 180 |
| Grand Total | 83 | 16 | 47 | 2 | 17 | 148 | 56 | 5 | 119 | 0 | 64 | 180 | 31 | 632 | 59 | 1 | 5 | 723 | 84 | 634 | 89 | 1 | 76 | 808 | 1859 |
| Approach \% | 56.1 | 10.8 | 31.8 | 1.4 | - | - | 31.1 | 2.8 | 66.1 | 0.0 |  | - | 4.3 | 87.4 | 8.2 | 0.1 | - | - | 10.4 | 78.5 | 11.0 | 0.1 |  | - | - |
| Total \% | 4.5 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | - | 8.0 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 0.0 | - | 9.7 | 1.7 | 34.0 | 3.2 | 0.1 | - | 38.9 | 4.5 | 34.1 | 4.8 | 0.1 | - | 43.5 | - |
| Lights | 67 | 10 | 41 | 1 | - | 119 | 55 | 4 | 114 | 0 | - | 173 | 21 | 612 | 59 | 1 | - | 693 | 81 | 616 | 66 | 1 | - | 764 | 1749 |
| \% Lights | 80.7 | 62.5 | 87.2 | 50.0 | - | 80.4 | 98.2 | 80.0 | 95.8 | - | - | 96.1 | 67.7 | 96.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 95.9 | 96.4 | 97.2 | 74.2 | 100.0 | - | 94.6 | 94.1 |
| Mediums | 16 | 6 | 5 | 1 | - | 28 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 0 | - | 27 | 2 | 17 | 23 | 0 | - | 42 | 99 |
| \% Mediums | 19.3 | 37.5 | 10.6 | 50.0 | - | 18.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | - | - | 1.1 | 29.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 25.8 | 0.0 | - | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | - | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 11 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | - | 0.7 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 3.4 | - | - | 2.8 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | 64 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 76 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Data Plot
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:00 AM)

| Start Time | Esseltine Drive Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Sanders Crescent <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. |  |
| 8:00 AM | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 67 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 60 |
| 8:30 AM | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 55 |
| 8:45 AM | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 39 | 75 |
| Total | 15 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 31 | 29 | 3 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 7 | 91 | 12 | 0 | 29 | 110 | 257 |
| Approach \% | 55.6 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 20.7 | 0.0 | 79.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 3.3 | 95.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 6.4 | 82.7 | 10.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 5.8 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | - | 10.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | - | 11.3 | 1.2 | 33.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | $\checkmark$ | 35.4 | 2.7 | 35.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | - | 42.8 | - |
| PHF | 0.536 | 0.375 | 0.563 | 0.000 | - | 0.675 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.719 | 0.000 | - | 0.806 | 0.375 | 0.777 | 0.250 | 0.000 | - | 0.784 | 0.438 | 0.599 | 0.429 | 0.000 | - | 0.705 | 0.857 |
| Lights | 11 | 3 | 7 | 0 | - | 21 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | - | 29 | 3 | 85 | 1 | 0 | - | 89 | 6 | 89 | 8 | 0 | - | 103 | 242 |
| \% Lights | 73.3 | 100.0 | 77.8 | - | - | 77.8 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 100.0 | - | - | 97.8 | 85.7 | 97.8 | 66.7 | - | - | 93.6 | 94.2 |
| Mediums | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | - | 6 | 14 |
| \% Mediums | 26.7 | 0.0 | 22.2 | - | - | 22.2 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 2.2 | 14.3 | 1.1 | 33.3 | - | - | 5.5 | 5.4 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (8:00 AM)
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (3:15 PM)

| Start Time | Esseltine Drive Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Sanders Crescent Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Quarter Town Line Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | App. | Int. Total |
| 3:15 PM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 3 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 31 | 87 |
| 3:30 PM | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 39 | 87 |
| 3:45 PM | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 37 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 46 | 77 |
| 4:00 PM | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 68 |
| Total | 15 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 2 | 97 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 111 | 19 | 114 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 147 | 319 |
| Approach \% | 44.1 | 23.5 | 32.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 7.4 | 7.4 | 85.2 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.8 | 87.4 | 9.9 | 0.9 | - | - | 12.9 | 77.6 | 9.5 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 4.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | - | 10.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 0.0 | - | 8.5 | 0.6 | 30.4 | 3.4 | 0.3 | - | 34.8 | 6.0 | 35.7 | 4.4 | 0.0 | - | 46.1 | - |
| PHF | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.458 | 0.000 | - | 0.773 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.639 | 0.000 | - | 0.750 | 0.250 | 0.674 | 0.550 | 0.250 | - | 0.661 | 0.679 | 0.770 | 0.583 | 0.000 | - | 0.799 | 0.917 |
| Lights | 15 | 2 | 11 | 0 | - | 28 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 0 | - | 24 | 1 | 96 | 11 | 1 | - | 109 | 18 | 107 | 14 | 0 | - | 139 | 300 |
| \% Lights | 100.0 | 25.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 82.4 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 91.3 | - | - | 88.9 | 50.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 98.2 | 94.7 | 93.9 | 100.0 | - | - | 94.6 | 94.0 |
| Mediums | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | - | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 | 15 |
| \% Mediums | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 50.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 1.8 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 4 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 8.7 | - | - | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | $\cdot$ | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | 100.0 | - | - |
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Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (3:15 PM)

## Appendix B

## Detailed Synchro Results



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2017 Baseline AM Peak 2: Quarter Town Line \& North Street W. 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Line

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $4$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 59 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 64 | 16 | 85 | 39 | 70 | 98 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 59 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 64 | 16 | 5 | 39 | 70 | 98 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.9 |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 9 | 64 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 70 | 17 | 92 | 42 | 76 | 107 | 10 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 108 | 137 | 151 | 193 |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Volume Total (vph) } & 108 & 137 & 151 & 193\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Volume Left (vph) } & 9 & 33 & 17 & 76\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Volume Right (vph) } & 35 & 70 & 42 & 10\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { Hadj (s) } & -0.07 & -0.12 & -0.08 & 0.11 \\ \text { Departure Headway (s) } & 4.9 & 4.8 & 47 & 48\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Departure Headway (s) } & 4.9 & 4.8 & 4.7 & 4.8 \\ \text { Degree Utilization, } x & 0.15 & 0.18 & 0.20 & 0.26\end{array}$
Degree Utilization,
Capacity (vel/h)
$\begin{array}{lllcc}\text { Control Delay (s) } & 679 & 695 & 720 & 703 \\ & 8.7 & 8.8 & 8.8 & 9.5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}8.8 & 88 & 88 & 9.5\end{array}$
Approach LOS
A A A A

## tersection Summary

Delay

| Level of Service | 9.0 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $42.9 \%$ | ICULevel of Service | A |

Analysis Period (min) Uiliza
A
Analysis Period (min)
leuter serice

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, S/veh | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, verlh | 8 | 59 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 64 | 16 | 85 | 39 | 70 | 98 | 9 |
| Future Vol, velh | 8 | 59 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 64 | 16 | 85 | 39 | 70 | 98 | 9 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heay Vehicles, \% | 0 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Mmithow | 9 | 64 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 70 | 17 | 92 | 42 | 76 | 107 | 10 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.5 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 11\% | 8\% | 24\% | 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 61\% | 60\% | 25\% | 55\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 28\% | 32\% | 51\% | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 140 | 99 | 125 | 177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTVol |  | 16 | 8 | 30 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 85 | 59 | 31 | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RTVol |  | 39 | 32 | 64 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane How Rate |  | 152 | 108 | 136 | 192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geometry Grp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree of Util ( $\times$ ) |  | 0.197 | 0.141 | 0.18 | 0.255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (HC) |  | 4.672 | 4.714 | 4.764 | 4.776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corvergence, Y/N |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cap |  | 764 | 756 | 750 | 748 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 2.723 | 2.766 | 2.813 | 2.826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane V/C Ratio |  | 0.199 | 0.143 | 0.181 | 0.257 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 8.9 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane LOS |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th-tile Q |  | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 3: Quarter Town Line \& Concession Street W. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Line |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{ }{\prime}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 4 | 46 | 14 | 42 | 30 | 40 | 12 | 67 | 53 | 77 | 112 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 4 | 46 | 14 | 42 | 30 | 40 | 12 | 67 | 53 | 77 | 112 | 7 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flowrate (yph) | 4 | 50 | 15 | 46 | 33 | 43 | 13 | 73 | 58 | 84 | 122 | 8 |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 69 | 122 | 144 | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (yph) | 4 | 46 | 13 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 15 | 43 | 58 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.02 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (velh) | 660 | 672 | 740 | 717 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.5 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.5 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uilization |  |  | 37.0\% |  | CULevel of | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, velhh | 4 | 46 | 14 | 42 | 30 | 40 | 12 | 67 | 53 | 77 | 112 | 7 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 46 | 14 | 42 | 30 | 40 | 12 | 67 | 53 | 77 | 112 | 7 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 25 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| Muthow | 4 | 50 | 15 | 46 | 33 | 43 | 13 | 73 | 58 | 84 | 122 | 8 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | o | 0 | 1 | o | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | o |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Confficting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.8 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 8.4 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 9\% | 6\% | 38\% | 39\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 51\% | 72\% | 27\% | 57\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 40\% | 22\% | 36\% | 4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 132 | 64 | 112 | 196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTVol |  | 12 | 4 | 42 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 67 | 46 | 30 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RTVol |  | 53 | 14 | 40 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane How Rate |  | 143 | 70 | 122 | 213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geometry Gp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree of Util ( $X$ ) |  | 0.176 | 0.101 | 0.165 | 0.282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Heacway (HC) |  | 4.419 | 5.202 | 4.892 | 4.771 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convergence, Y/N |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap |  | 809 | 687 | 730 | 752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 2.457 | 3.251 | 2.938 | 2.808 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane V/C Ratio |  | 0.177 | 0.102 | 0.167 | 0.283 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane LOS |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th-tile Q |  | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2017 Baseline AM Peak 4: Quarter Town Line \& Esseltine Drive/Sanders Crescent 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Line


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 5: Quarter Town Line \& Baldwin Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 Baseline AM Peak 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Line |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\rangle$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (verh ) | 6 | 32 | 4 | 40 | 13 | 58 | 0 | 28 | 48 | 77 | 29 | 7 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 6 | 32 | 4 | 40 | 13 | 58 | o | 28 | 48 | 77 | 29 | 7 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | \%\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 7 | 35 | 4 | 43 | 14 | 63 | 0 | 30 | 52 | 84 | 32 | 8 |
| Pedestrians |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Lane Whath ( $m$ ) |  | 3.6 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 3.6 |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | o |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | o |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 334 | 292 | 42 | 290 | 270 | 62 | 42 |  |  | 86 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vas, unblocked vol | 334 | 292 | 42 | 290 | 270 | 62 | 42 |  |  | 86 |  |  |
| tC, single ( s ) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tc, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free\% | 99 | 94 | 100 | 93 | 98 | 94 | 100 |  |  | 94 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veV/h) | 545 | 584 | 1029 | 595 | 601 | 998 | 1577 |  |  | 1499 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 46 | 120 | 82 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 7 | 43 | 0 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 4 | 63 | 52 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 600 | 756 | 1577 | 1499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( m ) | 2.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.5 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.5 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Uuilization |  |  | 32.8\% |  | ULevel | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2017 Baseline PM Peak
1: Quarter Town Line \& Highway 19/Broadway Stree
170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Tonn Line


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2017 Baseline PM Peak 2: Quarter Town Line \& North Street W. 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Lin

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 50 | 25 | 48 | 65 | 107 | 22 | 71 | 30 | 76 | 108 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 50 | 25 | 48 | 65 | 107 | 22 | 71 | 30 | 76 | 108 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 8 | 54 | 27 | 52 | 71 | 116 | 24 | 7 | 33 | 83 | 117 |  |

Direction, Lane\# $\qquad$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { WB1 } & \text { NB1 } & \text { SB1 }\end{array}$
Volume Total (vph)
$\begin{array}{llll}89 & 239 & 134 & 207\end{array}$
Volume Left (vph)
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Volume Right (yph) } & 8 & 52 & 24 & 83\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrrr}27 & 116 & 33 & 7 \\ & -0.14 & -0.22 & 0.11\end{array}$

| Departure Headway (s) |  | -0.14 | -0.22 | -0.11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$\quad 4.0 .08$

Departure Headway
Degree Utilization, $x$
Degree Utilization
Capacity (vehh)
Capacitry Delay (s)
Control Delay (s)
Approach Delas

| 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.12 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.29 |

rect
erection Summary
Delay

| Level of Service | A |  | ICU Level of Service |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $42.9 \%$ | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, velhh | 7 | 50 | 25 | 48 | 65 | 107 | 22 | 71 | 30 | 76 | 108 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 50 | 25 | 48 | 65 | 107 | 22 | 71 | 30 | 76 | 108 | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Muthow | 8 | 54 | 27 | 52 | 71 | 116 | 24 | 77 | 33 | 83 | 117 | 7 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | o | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | o |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Confficting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.6 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 9.9 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 18\% | 9\% | 22\% | 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 58\% | 61\% | 30\% | 57\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 24\% | 30\% | 49\% | 3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 123 | 82 | 220 | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTVol |  | 22 | 7 | 48 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 71 | 50 | 65 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RTVol |  | 30 | 25 | 107 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane How Rate |  | 134 | 89 | 239 | 207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geometry Gp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree of Util ( $X$ ) |  | 0.179 | 0.12 | 0.305 | 0.282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Heacway (HC) |  | 4.823 | 4.859 | 4.591 | 4.911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convergence, Y/N |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap |  | 738 | 732 | 77 | 727 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 2.893 | 2.931 | 2.648 | 2.975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane V/C Ratio |  | 0.182 | 0.122 | 0.308 | 0.285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 9 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane LOS |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th-tile Q |  | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2017 Baseline PM Peak

| 3: Quarter Town Line \& Concession Street W. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Line |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 10 | 33 | 10 | 34 | 45 | 73 | 7 | 111 | 59 | 70 | 92 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 | 33 | 10 | 34 | 45 | 73 | 7 | 111 | 59 | 70 | 92 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 11 | 36 | 11 | 37 | 49 | 79 | 8 | 121 | 64 | 76 | 100 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 58 | 165 | 193 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (yph) | 11 | 37 | 8 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (yph) | 11 | 79 | 64 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.01 | -0.11 | -0.09 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (verVh) | 644 | 695 | 738 | 692 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 423\% |  | CuLevel | fenvice |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, velhh | 10 | 33 | 10 | 34 | 45 | 73 | 7 | 111 | 59 | 70 | 92 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 33 | 10 | 34 | 45 | 73 | 7 | 111 | 59 | 70 | 92 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 25 |
| Muthow | 11 | 36 | 11 | 37 | 49 | 79 | 8 | 121 | 64 | 76 | 100 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | o |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Confficting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.4 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  | 9.6 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 4\% | 19\% | 22\% | 42\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 63\% | 62\% | 30\% | 55\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 33\% | 19\% | 48\% | 2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 177 | 53 | 152 | 166 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTVol |  | 7 | 10 | 34 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 111 | 33 | 45 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RTVol |  | 59 | 10 | 73 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane How Rate |  | 192 | 58 | 165 | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geometry Gp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree of Util ( $X$ ) |  | 0.252 | 0.079 | 0.222 | 0.247 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Heacway (HC) |  | 4.717 | 4.943 | 4.833 | 4.933 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convergence, Y/N |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap |  | 758 | 720 | 740 | 725 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 2.766 | 3.005 | 2.883 | 2.984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane V/C Ratio |  | 0.253 | 0.081 | 0.223 | 0.248 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 9.4 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCMLane LOS |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th-tile Q |  | 1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2017 Baseline PM Peak 4: Quarter Town Line \& Esseltine Drive/Sanders Crescent 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Line


| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 5: Quarter Town Line \& Baldwin Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 Baseline PM Peak 170219 Tillsonburg Quarter Town Line |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 9 | 19 | 2 | 33 | 26 | 75 | 4 | 43 | 63 | 78 | 31 | 12 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 9 | 19 | 2 | 33 | 26 | 75 | 4 | 43 | 63 | 78 | 31 | 12 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (wph) | 10 | 21 | 2 | 36 | 28 | 82 | 4 | 47 | 68 | 85 | 34 | 13 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6 |  |
| Walking Speed (ms) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstreamsignal ( $m$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 396 | 334 | 40 | 312 | 306 | 82 | 47 |  |  | 115 |  |  |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 396 | 334 | 40 | 312 | 306 | 82 | 47 |  |  | 115 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 | 96 | 100 | 94 | 95 | 92 | 100 |  |  | 94 |  |  |
| cM capacity (verh) | 477 | 554 | 1036 | 590 | 574 | 974 | 1573 |  |  | 1462 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB1 | WB1 | NB1 | SB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 33 | 146 | 119 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 10 | 36 | 4 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 2 | 82 | 68 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 543 | 753 | 1573 | 1462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 1.5 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.1 | 10.9 | 0.3 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.1 | 10.9 | 0.3 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 29.9\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    Quarter Town Line Corridor Management Study
    170219

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ O. Reg. 239/02: Minimum Maintenance Standards for Municipal Highways
    ${ }^{2}$ ASTM Standard Practice for Safe Walking Surfaces, American Society for Testing Materials

